

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,721	01/14/2002	Paul Clifford Reid	4059/12	1575	
75	12/31/2003		EXAMINER		
Penrose Lucas Albright, Esq.			COTTINGHAM, JOHN R		
MASON, MASON & ALBRIGHT 2306 South Eads Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P. O. Box 2246			3679		
Arlington, VA	22202-0246		DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	٠,
Office Action Summary		10/044,721	REID, PAUL CLIFFORD	B
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		John R. Cottingham	3679	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SH' THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r earne Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
, —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S			
, —	·	action is non-final.	accoution on to the marite is	
3)[_]	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal mallers, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,10,18,21-46 and 49-53</u> is/are pen 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,10,33-46 and 51</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>18,21-32,49,50,52 and 53</u> is/are reject Claim(s) <u>49</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	is/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.	
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
· ·	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestifice a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78.  1) The translation of the foreign language processing the process of the complete the priority document is made of a claim for domestification of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domestification of the first sentence of the priority document is made of a claim for domestification.	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(ast priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(ast priority under 35 U.S.C.	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachmen				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3679

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The proposed drawings changes filed on 3/6/2003 are approved, the Applicant is required to send in formal drawings with the next action.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 49 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It is drawn to the unclaimed subject matter of the lengths of material.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 18, 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hubbell U.S. Patent 4,520,231. Hubbel shows all of the claimed subject matter of a sleeve in Figures 1-4.

Regarding claim 18, a fence support comprising a sleeve 2 for fitting over a support member 60 comprising at least one web 38, the web 38 comprising at least one

Art Unit: 3679

means 42 to support lengths of material (col. 2, lines 57-59), the means comprising an incision 42.

Regarding claim 21, the support member is a rod 60.

Regarding claim 22, the sleeve 2 comprises at least one internal projection 20 for frictional connecting the sleeve 2 and the support member 60.

Regarding claim 23, the projection 20 is deformable (as seen in Figure 4).

Regarding claim 25, the sleeve is substantially composed of molded material (col. 4, lines 3-5).

Regarding claim 26, the support further comprising a cap 8 covering the sleeve and support member.

Regarding claim 27, the cap is waterproof (from being made of plastic).

Regarding claim 28, the cap is lockable since it is attached to the sleeve and which is held by a friction fit.

Regarding claim 29, a fence support comprising a sleeve 2 for fitting over a support member 60 comprising at least two webs 38 & 40, the webs each have at least one means 38 and 40 to support lengths of material. (col. 2, lines 57-59)

Regarding claim 30, the means to support lengths of material each comprise a slot 42 & 44.

Regarding claim 31, wherein the slots 42 & 44 are disposed at opposing angles relative to each other.

Regarding claim 32 the sleeve comprises a means for receiving a promotional 38 & 40.

Art Unit: 3679

Regarding claim 49, the lengths of material to be supported are electrified (col. 4, lines 3-5) This claim does not further limited the positively claimed subject matter of the independent claim.

Regarding claim 50, the sleeve 2 is made of an insulating material, as indicated by the cross hatching.

5. Claims 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticpated by Roy U.S. Patent 6,330,998. Roy shows all of the claimed subject matter of a support structure in Figures 1-26.

Regarding claim 52, a support structure for an electrified fence (the wire is capable of being electrified) which comprises a sleeve 100 that receives and substantially surrounding the entire exposed surface of a post 45 which extends vertically from the underlying surface at the side of the electrified fence, the interior of the sleeve comprises friction means 142 for frictionally and forceably receiving the post 60, the sleeve including an exterior web 120 which is disposed parallel to the post when the sleeve is installed over the post 45, the web 120 has an opening for receiving an electrified wire of the fence, the sleeve 100 is composed of a dielectric material (see abstract) at least where it receives the electrified wire, the sleeve further includes a cap 110 for covering the top of the post when the sleeve is installed over the post 45.

Regarding claim 53, the sleeve 100 is sufficiently long for fitting over substantially the entire exposed surface of the support member.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/044,721

Art Unit: 3679

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hubbell U.S. Patent 4,520,231. Hubbell does not disclose the sleeve being made of an extruded material. However, It would have been will within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the sleeve of an extruded material for cheaper construction costs. The selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 3/6/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant is first argueing more than what is being claimed, by arguing the cap in Hubbel is integral, however, the applicant has not made the distinction over the prior art in the claims.

In regards to the arguments directed to claim 24, whether the sleeve is made by extrusion is irrelevant in product claims, because in product by process claims, the process is not given patentable weight.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 3679

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John R. Cottingham whose telephone number is (703) 306-3439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

John R. Cottingham Primary Examiner Art Unit 3679

jrc